



**Meeting of the
Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee**

LOCATION

City of Livingston – Council Chambers
1416 C Street
Livingston CA

DATE

Wednesday, June 26, 2019

TIME

1:30 pm

East Side Regional Projects Committee Members

City of Livingston	Juan Aguilar, Councilman
City of Atwater	Paul Creighton, Mayor
City of Merced	Mike Murphy, Mayor – Vice Chair
County of Merced, District 1	Rodrigo Espinoza, Supervisor
County of Merced, District 2	Lee Lor, Supervisor
County of Merced, District 3	Daron McDaniel, Supervisor – Chair
County of Merced, District 4	Lloyd Pareira, Supervisor
County of Merced, District 5	Scott Silveira, Supervisor

Welcome to the Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee Meeting

AGENDA

At least 72 hours prior to each regular Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee meeting, a complete agenda packet is available for review on the Measure V website at www.measurev-mcag.com and at the MCAG office, 369 W. 18th Street, Merced, CA 95340. All public records relating to an open session item and copies of staff reports or other written documentation relating to items of business referred to on the agenda are on file at MCAG. Persons with questions concerning agenda items may call MCAG to make an inquiry regarding the nature of items described on the agenda.

TRANSLATION SERVICES

Translation Services are not provided at MCAG's public meeting unless requested at least three (3) business days in advance. Please contact Eva Garibay at (209) 723-3153 x 108 during regular business hours to request translation services.

Servicios de interpretación no son ofrecidos en las juntas públicas de MCAG al menos de que se soliciten tres (3) días de negociación en anticipación. Para solicitar estos servicios por favor contacte a Eva Garibay al (209) 723-3153 x 108 durante horas de oficina.

INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

Representatives or individuals with disabilities should contact MCAG at (209)723-3153 at least three (3) days in advance of the meeting to request auxiliary aids or other accommodations necessary to participate in the public meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Members of the public wishing to address agenda items or comment on any item not on the agenda may do so during agenda item 2 – Public Comment. Persons may also address any item on the agenda during consideration of that item. Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per person. Please state your name and city or community of residence for the record. For items not on the agenda, no action will be taken. If it requires action, the item will be referred to staff and/or placed on the next agenda.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Measure V www.measurev-mcag.com

Merced County Association of Governments www.mcagov.org



**Meeting of the
Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee**

Wednesday, June 26, 2019 – 1:30 pm
City of Livingston, Council Chambers
1416 C St., Livingston CA

AGENDA

1.	Roll Call		Chair	
2.	Public Comment		Chair	
3.	Approval of Agenda	Action	Chair	
4.	Project Funding Scenarios	Action	Matt Fell	p. 4
5.	Next steps	Info	Stacie Dabbs	
6.	Adjourn		Chair	



PH: 209.723.3153
FAX: 209.723.0322
www.mcagov.org
369 W. 18th Street
Merced, CA 95340

ITEM 4

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 20, 2019

TO: Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee

FROM: Matt Fell, Transportation Planning Manager

RE: Project Funding Scenarios

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN – PROCESS TO DATE

The Measure V Expenditure Plan requires an Implementation Plan outlining project expenditures be updated at a minimum of every two years. In September 2018, the MCAG Governing Board adopted the 2018 Implementation Plan, which programmed the first two fiscal years of revenue (2017-18 and 2018-19). In October 2018, the Board directed staff to begin developing the next Implementation Plan and issue a call for projects.

East Side Regional Project Committee meetings have been held in 2019 as follows, with a goal of recommending projects for funding to the MCAG Governing Board:

January, 2019: the Committee reviewed candidate projects, which in total cost more than the projected revenue available over the 30-year life of Measure V. They also discussed options for recommending projects. Committee members proposed a variety of hybrid approaches that combined strategies. The most support was received for a combination of major projects and leveraging (75%) and something-for-everyone (25%).

April: the Committee reviewed a sample scenario developed by staff – a list of projects that attempted to meet the suggested combination of approaches and assumed a 10 year horizon. The list had two portions – (A) “major projects”, and (B/C) “something for everyone / completing projects”. The scenario did not attempt to specify the schedule of fiscal years in which projects could receive funding.

Committee members also discussed leveraging goals and set-aside options, including considering the 75% “major projects” category as a leveraging category. They also discussed a potential leveraging incentive, whereby a jurisdiction might be rewarded for bringing competitive state or federal funds to a Measure V funded project.

May: staff facilitated an interactive exercise with the Committee using a year-by-year cash-flow model with the same list of candidate projects as in April. The Committee compared project funding schedules to projected revenue by year.

SCENARIOS

At your meeting, staff will again facilitate as the Committee continues the discussion from May. To help build on the momentum of that meeting, staff has developed two potentially actionable scenarios, based on the discussion in May. They are being distributed with the agenda and printouts are attached and the Excel file is available on the website:

[https://www.measurev-mcag.com/uploads/1/2/3/5/123584958/east_rpc - draft cash flow scenarios.xlsx](https://www.measurev-mcag.com/uploads/1/2/3/5/123584958/east_rpc_-_draft_cash_flow_scenarios.xlsx)

The file includes the following scenarios:

- **A: the corrected cash flow template**, which was distributed last week; it is based on the information submitted in the project forms and it has negative cash flow within a few years.
- **B: “75/25 with positive cash flow”**, a scenario that maintains positive cash flow for both the 75% and 25% shares and overall. There are a variety of ways that could be done, this sheet simply shows one example. In order to maintain positive cash flow in both shares, the following adjustments from the template were made:
 - AME: spreading out the right-of-way over two years
 - 59 Bridge: moved into Major Projects, and pushed back a year
 - Winton Way: spread over two years (as suggested at the last meeting)
 - Livingston Transit Center: construction pushed back two years, pending environmental work and coordination with other agencies
- **C: “75/25, first two years only”** is the same as Scenario B, but only programs the first two years. This would allow time for more complete information to be developed and available for the next Implementation Plan update, which occurs every two years. Project phases/components beyond 20-21 don’t necessarily have to be programmed now – it could wait until the next Plan update.

REFERENCE MATERIAL

The project candidate forms submitted in January are available on the Measure V website, direct link:

https://www.measurev-mcag.com/uploads/1/2/3/5/123584958/east_rpc_1.24.2019_project_forms.pdf

Previous agenda packets are also on the website on the “Regional Projects” page under the “Regional Projects Committees – East Side” heading: <https://www.measurev-mcag.com/regional-projects.html>

Printed copies will be available at your meeting and are available upon request.

REQUESTED ACTION

Discuss and potentially recommend a schedule of projects for funding, and optionally, set-aside percentages.

Attachments:

- Scenario A: Corrected Cash Flow Template
- Scenario B: “75/25 with positive cash flow”
- Scenario C: “75/25, first two years only”
- Submitted project Forms for 5 projects

Scenario A: (CORRECTED) "Cash Flow Template" (based on submitted forms)
for Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee Meeting, June 26, 2019

For discussion purposes. Not a staff recommendation. Amounts in millions of dollars. Cells shaded blue are input areas, green is positive cash flow, red is negative.

Fiscal Years:	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	10-Year Total	TOTALS
Projected Revenue (East) (unescalated):	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	40.50	
(A) Major Projects - percent (%)	20%	20%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%		
(A) Major Projects - revenue	0.81	0.81	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04		32.00
(A) programmed amounts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.76	8.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		16.16
(A) Major Projects - balance	0.81	1.62	4.66	7.70	2.98	(2.39)	0.65	3.69	6.73	9.77	12.80	15.84		15.84
(B) Smaller Projects - percent (%)	80%	80%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%		
(B) Smaller Projects - revenue	3.24	3.24	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		16.61
(B) programmed amounts	0.00	6.48	1.66	4.03	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		14.67
(B) Smaller Projects - balance	3.24	0.00	(0.65)	(3.67)	(5.15)	(4.14)	(3.13)	(2.12)	(1.10)	(0.09)	0.92	1.94		1.94
East Side Regional Projects - overall balance			4.01	4.03	(2.18)	(6.53)	(2.48)	1.58	5.63	9.68	13.73	17.78		17.78

Projects and Schedule of Funding by FY	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	10-Year Total	Total Cost	Match	Request
(A) Major Projects and Leveraging:																
County AME, phase 1B		0.00			2.58	8.40							10.98	75.00	2.72	10.98
Merced 59 Widening, phase 1		0.76			5.18								5.18	5.94	0.00	5.18
(A) Subtotals By FY:			0.00	0.00	7.76	8.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
(B) Smaller Projects:																
Atwater Winton Way, phase 2		1.00	1.66										0.00	3.39	0.72	1.66
Livingston Transit Center, phase 1		-		0.40	2.50								2.90	3.50	0.60	2.90
Merced 59 Black Rascal Bridge		0.46		3.63									3.63	4.09	0.00	3.63
(B) Subtotals By FY:			1.66	4.03	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
2018 Implementation Plan:																
Livingston 99 Winton Parkway On-Ramp		0.84												1.24	0.40	
County Bradbury Rd		0.95												0.95	0.00	
County Sandy Mush, phase 1		2.47												2.47	0.00	
(ALL) Totals By FY:			6.48	1.66	4.03	10.26	8.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.69	96.57	4.45	24.35

Scenario B: "75/25 with positive cash flow"

for Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee Meeting, June 26, 2019

For discussion purposes. Not a staff recommendation. Amounts in millions of dollars. Cells shaded blue are input areas, green is positive cash flow, red is negative, yellow shading is changes from template

Fiscal Years:		17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	10-Year Total	TOTALS
Measure V Years:		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
2018 Implementation Plan (2 years):		1	2												
2019-2029 (10 years):				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Projected Revenue (East) (unescalated):		4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	40.50	
(A) Major Projects - percent (%)		20%	20%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%		
(A) Major Projects - revenue		0.81	0.81	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04		32.00
(A) programmed amounts		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.76	0.63	5.00	3.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		19.79
(A) Major Projects - balance		0.81	1.62	4.66	7.70	(0.02)	2.39	0.42	0.06	3.10	6.14	9.17	12.21		12.21
(B) Smaller Projects - percent (%)		80%	80%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%		
(B) Smaller Projects - revenue		3.24	3.24	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		16.61
(B) programmed amounts		0.00	6.48	1.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		11.04
(B) Smaller Projects - balance		3.24	0.00	0.01	(0.04)	0.98	1.99	0.50	1.52	2.53	3.54	4.55	5.57		5.57
East Side Regional Projects - overall balance				4.67	7.66	0.95	4.38	0.92	1.58	5.63	9.68	13.73	17.78		17.78

Projects and Schedule of Funding by FY	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	10-Year Total	Total Cost	Match	Request
(A) Major Projects and Leveraging:																
County AME, phase 1B		0.00			2.58		5.00	3.40					10.98	75.00	2.72	10.98
Merced 59 Widening, phase 1		0.76			5.18								5.18	5.94	0.00	5.18
Merced 59 Black Rascal Bridge		0.46			3.00	0.63							3.63	4.09	0.00	3.63
(A) Subtotals By FY:				0.00	0.00	10.76	0.63	5.00	3.40	0.00	0.00	0.00				
(B) Smaller Projects:																
Atwater Winton Way, phase 2		1.00		1	0.66								0.66	3.39	0.72	1.66
Livingston Transit Center, phase 1		-			0.40		2.50						2.90	3.50	0.60	2.90
		-											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
(B) Subtotals By FY:				1.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
2018 Implementation Plan:																
Livingston 99 Winton Parkway On-Ramp		0.84												1.24	0.40	
County Bradbury Rd		0.95												0.95	0.00	
County Sandy Mush, phase 1		2.47												2.47	0.00	
(ALL) Totals By FY:		6.48		1.00	1.06	10.76	0.63	7.50	3.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.35	96.57	4.45	24.35

Scenario C: "75/25, first two years only"

for Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee Meeting, June 26, 2019

For discussion purposes. Not a staff recommendation. Amounts in millions of dollars. Cells shaded blue are input areas, green is positive cash flow, red is negative, yellow shading is changes from template

Fiscal Years:	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	10-Year Total	TOTALS
Measure V Years:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
2018 Implementation Plan (2 years):	1	2												
2019-2029 (10 years):			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Projected Revenue (East) (unescalated):	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	40.50	
(A) Major Projects - percent (%)	20%	20%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%		
(A) Major Projects - revenue	0.81	0.81	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04		32.00
(A) programmed amounts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
(A) Major Projects - balance	0.81	1.62	4.66	7.70	10.73	13.77	16.81	19.85	22.88	25.92	28.96	32.00		32.00
(B) Smaller Projects - percent (%)	80%	80%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%		
(B) Smaller Projects - revenue	3.24	3.24	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		16.61
(B) programmed amounts	0.00	6.48	1.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		8.54
(B) Smaller Projects - balance	3.24	0.00	0.01	(0.04)	0.98	1.99	3.00	4.02	5.03	6.04	7.05	8.07		8.07
East Side Regional Projects - overall balance			4.67	7.66	11.71	15.76	19.81	23.86	27.91	31.96	36.01	40.06		40.06

Projects and Schedule of Funding by FY	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26	26-27	27-28	28-29	10-Year Total	Total Cost	Match	Request
(A) Major Projects and Leveraging:																
County AME, phase 1B		0.00											0.00	75.00	2.72	10.98
Merced 59 Widening, phase 1		0.76											0.00	5.94	0.00	5.18
Merced 59 Black Rascal Bridge		0.46											0.00	4.09	0.00	3.63
(A) Subtotals By FY:			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
(B) Smaller Projects:																
Atwater Winton Way, phase 2		1.00	1	0.66									0.66	3.39	0.72	1.66
Livingston Transit Center, phase 1		-		0.40									0.40	3.50	0.60	2.90
		-											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
(B) Subtotals By FY:			1.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
2018 Implementation Plan:																
Livingston 99 Winton Parkway On-Ramp		0.84												1.24	0.40	
County Bradbury Rd		0.95												0.95	0.00	
County Sandy Mush, phase 1		2.47												2.47	0.00	
(ALL) Totals By FY:		6.48	1.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.06	96.57	4.45	24.35