



**Meeting of the
Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee**

LOCATION

Merced Civic Center, Sam Pipes Room
678 West 18th Street
Merced CA

DATE

Friday, October 29, 2021

TIME

10:00 am

East Side Regional Projects Committee Members

| | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| City of Atwater | John Cale, Mayor Pro Tem – Vice Chair |
| City of Livingston | Juan Aguilar, Mayor |
| City of Merced | Matt Serratto, Mayor |
| County of Merced, District 1 | Rodrigo Espinoza, Supervisor |
| County of Merced, District 2 | Josh Pedrozo, Supervisor |
| County of Merced, District 3 | Daron McDaniel, Supervisor – Chair |
| County of Merced, District 4 | Lloyd Pareira, Supervisor |
| County of Merced, District 5 | Scott Silveira, Supervisor |

Welcome to the Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee Meeting

AGENDA

Members of the Committee and the general public receive the complete agenda packet at least 72 hours prior to each regular meeting. At least 72 hours prior to each regular Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee meeting, a complete agenda packet is available for review on the Measure V website at www.mcagov.org and at the MCAG office, 369 W. 18th Street, Merced, CA 95340. All public records relating to an open session item and copies of staff reports or other written documentation relating to items of business referred to on the agenda are on file at MCAG. Persons with questions concerning agenda items may call MCAG to make an inquiry regarding the nature of items described on the agenda.

INTERPRETING SERVICES

Interpretation services can be provided if requested at least three (3) business days in advance. Please contact Eva Garibay at (209) 723-3153 x 108 during regular business hours to request interpreting services.

Para solicitar servicios de interpretación por favor contacte por teléfono a Eva Garibay por lo menos tres (3) días antes de la junta al (209) 723-3153 x 108 durante horas de oficina.

INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

Representatives or individuals with disabilities should contact MCAG at (209)723-3153 at least three (3) days in advance of the meeting to request auxiliary aids or other accommodations necessary to participate in the public meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Members of the public wishing to address agenda items or comment on any item not on the agenda may do so during agenda item 4 – Public Comment. Persons may also address any item on the agenda during consideration of that item. Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per person. Please state your name and city or community of residence for the record. For items not on the agenda, no action will be taken. If it requires action, the item will be referred to staff and/or placed on the next agenda.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Measure V www.mcagov.org/MeasureV

Merced County Association of Governments www.mcagov.org



**Meeting of the
Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee**

Friday, October 29, 2021 – 10:00 am
Merced Civic Center, Sam Pipes Room
678 West 18th St., Merced CA

AGENDA

| | | | | |
|----|--|--------|----------------------|------|
| 1. | Roll Call | | Staff | |
| 2. | Pledge of Allegiance | | Chair or Designee | |
| 3. | Approval of Agenda | Action | | |
| 4. | Public Comment | | | |
| 5. | Minutes of October 13, 2021 Meeting | Action | | p. 4 |
| 6. | Recommend a project programming schedule | Action | Stacie Guzman | p. 7 |
| 7. | Next steps | Info | Stacie Guzman | |
| 8. | Adjourn | | Chair | |



**Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee
MINUTES**

DATE

Wednesday, October 13, 2021

The meeting of the East Side Regional Projects Committee held on Wednesday October 13, 2021 was called to order by Chair McDaniel at 2:00 p.m.

DIRECTORS PRESENT

Director Juan Aguilar
Director John Cale
Director Daron McDaniel, Chair
Director Lloyd Pareira
Director Scott Silveira

MCAG STAFF

Stacie Guzman, Executive Director
Emily Haden, Legal Counsel
Matt Fell, Deputy Director – Planning
Nav Bagri, Finance Director
Mary-Michal Rawling, Public Affairs Manager

DIRECTORS ABSENT

Director Rodrigo Espinoza
Director Josh Pedrozo
Director Matt Serratto

GUESTS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Lori Waterman, City Manager, Atwater
Michael Hayes, Atwater
Vanessa Portillo, Interim City Manager, Livingston
Stephanie Dietz, City Manager, Merced
Michael Beltran, City Engineer, Merced
Jim Brown, Chief Executive Officer, Merced County
Marci Barrera, Assistant County Executive Officer, Merced County
Dana Hertfelder, Director of Public Works, Merced County

1. Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

2. Appoint Chair and Vice-Chair

Stacie Guzman reviewed the Regional Projects Committees policies adopted by the MCAG Governing Board in August, including election of Chair and Vice-Chair to coincide with the bi-annual Implementation Plan update process.

Director Silveira nominated Daron McDaniel as Chair and John Cale as Vice Chair of the East Side Regional Projects Committee.

Seconded by Director Pareira.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

3. Approval of the Agenda

Director Pareira moved to approve the agenda.

Seconded by Director Cale.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

4. Public Comment

There were no public comments.

5. Minutes of the June 26, 2019 East Side Regional Projects Committee

Director Pareira moved to approve the minutes of the June 26, 2019 East Side Regional Projects Committee meeting.

Seconded by Director Silveira.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

6. Purpose and Overview

Stacie Guzman and Matt Fell gave the report.

7. Regional Project Expenditures

Nav Bagri gave the report.

8. East Side Regional Programming Capacity

Nav Bagri and Stacie Guzman gave the report.

9. Applications Review and Discussion

Committee members discussed the five project applications and the available programming capacity, which is insufficient to program all requests. The committee consensus was for jurisdictions' staff to work together and with MCAG staff to develop a scenario with positive cash flow and bring it back at the next meeting for the committee to continue discussing.

10. Next Steps

Stacie Guzman discussed next steps for the applications review.

11. Adjourn

Chair McDaniel adjourned the meeting at 2:45 p.m.

ITEM 6

DATE: October 25, 2021
TO: Measure V East Side Regional Projects Committee
FROM: Stacie Guzman, Executive Director
RE: Action: Recommend a project programming schedule

SUMMARY

The East Side Regional Projects Committee met on October 13, 2021 to receive an update on the Implementation Plan process and began reviewing project applications for East Side funding. Direction was given to jurisdiction and MCAG staff, who worked together on a programming schedule, which is attached for the committee to review, discuss, and potentially modify or consider adopting.

REQUESTED ACTION

One of the following options:

1. Recommend a project programming schedule for funding to the MCAG Governing Board;
OR
2. Take no action; continue the discussion and review of projects to the next meeting.

BACKGROUND

Measure V Implementation Plan Update

The Measure V Implementation Plan is updated every two years and this process is currently under way. The Eastside and Westside Regional Project Committees are meeting to review project applications and make recommendations to the Governing Board. Adoption of an updated Implementation Plan will follow in early 2022.

Last meeting

At the October 13, 2021 East Side Regional Project Committee meeting, the committee reviewed the six applications submitted for East Side funding, which are listed below. The two projects in bold are new project requests for Project Study Reports. The other three are requests for amendments:

- **Atwater – Bellevue Road Reconstruction/Realignment**
- Merced – Highway 59 Widening Phase 1
- Merced – Highway 59 Bridges Over Black Rascal Creek
- **Merced – Mission Avenue Henry Street to G Street**
- Merced County – AME, Phase 1B (Green Sands Avenue to Santa Fe Drive)

Sponsoring agencies’ staff will be available to answer questions about the project applications and the projects.

The applications are enclosed as a separate document and all of the applications are posted on the MCAG website on this page:

<https://www.mcagov.org/345/Call-For-Projects>

DISCUSSION

Proposed Programming Schedule

At the last meeting, the committee reviewed a programming schedule showing that the available funding capacity is insufficient to program all requests as submitted. Direction was given to jurisdiction and MCAG staff to work together on a programming schedule with positive cash flow for further consideration and discussion.

Following the meeting, staff consulted with jurisdiction staff on their requests and the details of their proposed schedules in attempt to identify potential flexibility in those schedules. Staff also updated the revenue forecast to include interest and with new information from the sales tax consultant (HdL) the base annual projection was increased with subsequent growth projections.

Attached is the result of this coordination, a proposed programming schedule for discussion, modification, and/or action. At your meeting staff will walk through this proposal, which includes programming the new projects requesting Project Study Reports (PSRs) and spreading out the funding for four projects (highlighted in yellow on the attached) to align with updated project delivery schedules and cash flow needs.

Additionally, staff proposes the following reserve be recommended on the East Side; staff is recommending this be created on both East and West sides:

Contingency Reserve

Staff recommends that a contingency reserve of 5% of actual revenue be created beginning in FY 21/22. This is permitted per the policy adopted in April 2021 by the MCAG Governing Board. The purpose of this reserve would be to help cover revenue shortfalls in years where actual funding is less than projected funding such as may occur in a recession. Note MCAG continues to use conservative projections.

Two “green line” cumulative balances are shown on the schedule, one without a contingency reserve, and one with.

The purpose of this schedule is to serve as a springboard for consideration and discussion by the committee as they continue their deliberations.

REQUESTED ACTION

One of the following options:

1. Recommend a project programming schedule for funding to the MCAG Governing Board, including any recommendations for set-asides and/or reserves.
OR
2. Take no action; continue the discussion and review of projects to the next meeting.

ATTACHMENTS

East Side Regional Projects Proposed Programming Schedule, October 29, 2021

Measure V East Regional Projects Committee Meeting - October 29, 2021

PROPOSED PROGRAMMING SCHEDULE - FOR DISCUSSION / ACTION

Notes: this scenario is based on the direction provided at the October 13 meeting for follow-up work by jurisdiction and MCAG staff.

grey projects with expenditures
 green requested funds
 yellow shifts in programmed year
 blue actuals (closeout)

| Revenue | Actual 17/18 - 20/21 | Actual Revenues FY 17/18 to FY 20/21 | | | | Projected Revenues FY 21/22 onward | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | 24/25 | 25/26 | 26/27 | 27/28 | 28/29 | 29/30 | | |
| Total Measure V Revenue | | \$20,666,382 | \$19,860,159 | \$19,934,147 | \$23,822,070 | \$20,000,000 | \$20,320,000 | \$20,746,720 | \$21,182,401 | \$21,669,596 | \$22,211,336 | \$22,433,450 | \$22,657,784 | \$22,884,362 | \$278,388,408 | |
| East Side Regional Projects Fund (27% of total) | | \$5,579,923 | \$5,362,243 | \$5,382,220 | \$6,431,959 | \$5,400,000 | \$5,486,400 | \$5,601,614 | \$5,719,248 | \$5,850,791 | \$5,997,061 | \$6,057,031 | \$6,117,602 | \$6,178,778 | \$75,164,870 | |
| Growth from previous year | | | -3.9% | 0.4% | 19.5% | -16.0% | 1.6% | 2.1% | 2.1% | 2.3% | 2.5% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | | |
| Jurisdiction | Project | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | 24/25 | 25/26 | 26/27 | 27/28 | 28/29 | 29/30 | Total | |
| Atwater | Winton Way | | \$1,000,000 | \$1,660,000 | | | | | | | | | | | \$2,660,000 | |
| Atwater | Bellevue Road Rehab/Realign | | | | | \$200,000 | | | | | | | | | \$200,000 | |
| Livingston | Hwy. 99 Winton Parkway On-Ramp | | \$840,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | \$840,000 | |
| Livingston | Hammett & Campbell intersection | | | | | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | | | | | | | | \$1,000,000 | |
| Livingston | Transit Center | | | | | | | | \$1,900,000 | | | | | | \$1,900,000 | |
| Merced | Hwy. 59 Black Rascal Bridge | | | | \$66,161 | \$683,839 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | | \$4,284,580 | | | | | \$6,034,580 | |
| Merced | Hwy. 59 Widening, Phase 1 | | | | \$158,389 | \$591,611 | \$500,000 | \$1,000,000 | | \$4,250,000 | | | | | \$6,500,000 | |
| Merced | Mission Ave. Widening | | | | | \$150,000 | | | | | | | | | \$150,000 | |
| County | Bradbury Rd Rehabilitation | | \$8,316 | \$897,856 | | | | | | | | | | | \$906,172 | |
| County | Sandy Mush Rd Rehabilitation | | \$30,210 | \$2,278,409 | | | | | | | | | | | \$2,308,619 | |
| County | AME Phase 1B | | | | \$970,143 | \$2,967,555 | \$1,900,000 | \$5,650,000 | \$14,170,000 | | | | | | \$25,657,697 | |
| Total Project Programming by FY | | \$0 | \$1,878,526 | \$4,836,265 | \$1,194,692 | \$5,093,005 | \$3,400,000 | \$7,150,000 | \$16,070,000 | \$8,534,580 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$48,157,069 | |
| Interest Earned (Actual / Estimate) | | | \$187,940 | \$232,844 | \$121,109 | \$163,837 | \$191,339 | \$177,769 | \$76,039 | \$39,961 | \$100,332 | \$161,905 | \$224,700 | \$288,735 | \$1,966,511 | |
| Balances by FY and Cumulative | | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | 24/25 | 25/26 | 26/27 | 27/28 | 28/29 | 29/30 | Total | |
| Balance by FY | | \$5,579,923 | \$3,671,657 | \$778,799 | \$5,358,376 | \$470,832 | \$2,277,739 | (\$1,370,617) | (\$10,274,713) | (\$2,643,828) | \$6,097,392 | \$6,218,937 | \$6,342,302 | \$6,467,513 | \$28,974,312 | |
| Cumulative Balance before Contingency | | \$5,579,923 | \$9,251,580 | \$10,030,378 | \$15,388,754 | \$15,859,586 | \$18,137,325 | \$16,766,708 | \$6,491,996 | \$3,848,168 | \$9,945,561 | \$16,164,497 | \$22,506,799 | \$28,974,312 | | |
| Contingency Reserve (for revenue shortfalls) 5% of actual revenue, beginning in FY 21/22 | | | | | | \$270,000 | \$274,320 | \$280,081 | \$285,962 | \$292,540 | \$299,853 | \$302,852 | \$305,880 | \$308,939 | \$2,620,426 | |
| Cumulative Balance After Contingency | | | | | | \$15,589,586 | \$17,593,005 | \$15,942,308 | \$5,381,633 | \$2,445,266 | \$8,242,805 | \$14,158,890 | \$20,195,312 | \$26,353,886 | \$26,353,886 | |